

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/696,666	KAHN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph D. Torres	2133	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(3) _____.

(2) Dane Butzer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2004
Time: 12:40pm
Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A
Claims discussed:
1-5,7-22,24-51 and 72-85
Prior art documents discussed:
N/A
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


During a telephone conversation with Dane Butzer on 21 October 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5, 7-22 and 24-51.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Examiner Initiated Interview Summary)

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate